EVALUATION OF A COMPUTER-BASED EDUCATIONAL INTERVENTION TO IMPROVE MEDICAL TEAMWORK AND PERFORMANCE DURING SIMULATED PATIENT RESUSCITATIONS

Rosemarie Fernandez, MD Division of Emergency Medicine University of Washington School of Medicine and Department of Emergency Medicine Wayne State University School of Medicine

> Marina Pearce, M.A. Department of Psychology Michigan State University

> James A. Grand, M.A. Department of Psychology Michigan State University

> Tara A. Rench, M.A. Department of Psychology Michigan State University

Kerin A. Jones, MD Department of Emergency Medicine Wayne State University School of Medicine

> Georgia T. Chao, Ph.D. Department of Management Michigan State University

Steve W. J. Kozlowski, Ph.D. Department of Psychology Michigan State University

<u>Key Words:</u> Patient Simulation; Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation; Healthcare Team; Medical Education; Education Measurement; Errors, Medical

Citation

Fernandez, R., Pearce, M., Grand, J.A., Rench, T.A., Jones, K.A., Chao, G.T., & Kozlowski, S.W.J. (2013). Evaluation of a computer-based educational intervention to improve medical teamwork and performance during simulated patient resuscitations. *Critical Care Medicine*, 41, 2551-2562.

This document reflects the manuscript version accepted for publication but may not exactly replicate the final printed version of the article. Please cite the final published version of the paper in subsequent references to this manuscript. The final printed version can be found via its DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31829828f7

Abstract

Objective: To determine the impact of a low-resource-demand, easily disseminated computer-based teamwork process training intervention on teamwork behaviors and patient care performance in code teams.

Design: A randomized comparison trial of computer-based teamwork training versus placebo training was conducted from August 2010 through March 2011.

Setting and Participants: Participants (N=231) were fourth-year medical students and first, second, and third-year emergency medicine residents at Wayne State University. Each participant was assigned to a team of 4-6 members (N_{teams} =45).

Interventions: Teams were randomly assigned to receive either a 25-minute evidence-based computerbased training module targeting appropriate resuscitation teamwork behaviors or a placebo training module.

Measurements: Teamwork behaviors and patient care behaviors were video recorded during highfidelity simulated patient resuscitations and coded by trained raters blinded to condition assignment and study hypotheses. Teamwork behavior items (e.g., "Chest X-ray findings communicated to team"; "team member assists with intubation preparation" were standardized before combining to create overall teamwork scores. Similarly, patient care items ("Chest X-ray correctly interpreted"; "Time to start of compressions" were standardized before combining to create overall patient care scores. Subject matter expert reviews and pilot testing of scenario content, teamwork items, and patient care items provided evidence of content validity. **Main Results:** When controlling for team members' medically-relevant experience, teams in the training condition evidenced better teamwork (F(1,42) = 4.81, p < .05; $\eta^2_p = 10\%$) and patient care (F(1,42) = 4.66, p < .05, $\eta^2_p = 10\%$) than did teams in the placebo condition.

Conclusions: Computer-based team training positively impacts teamwork and patient care during simulated patient resuscitations. This low-resource team training intervention may help to address the dissemination and sustainability issues associated with larger, more costly team training programs.

Introduction

Communication breakdown and teamwork failures have been directly linked with medical error and adverse patient events.(1-3) As a result, multiple efforts have been made to improve the performance of interdisciplinary healthcare teams.(4) Code teams function in complex, dynamic and time-pressured working conditions.(5-8) These characteristics present challenges to teams, thus threatening their ability to effectively communicate, coordinate, and recognize threats to patient safety.(9-11)

Recent educational efforts to improve healthcare team effectiveness have focused on team training. (12) While some of these efforts have demonstrated promising results, feasibility issues limit their implementation.(13, 14) Team training programs such as Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (TeamSTEPPS) and Anesthesia Crisis Resource Management are resource-intensive, requiring significant organizational investment to properly maintain.(15, 16) An easily implemented, low-resource-demand team training program could be utilized by institutions unable to support more resource-intensive efforts. Moreover, such training could be implemented as part of a refresher training program aimed toward minimizing skill decay.

A recent report in *Critical Care Medicine* comments on the importance of effective teamwork to patient safety.(17) However, the same article notes a relative lack of high-quality research evaluating the efficacy of team training interventions. Similarly, the general healthcare literature has called for research demonstrating team training effectiveness using robust evaluation techniques.(14, 18) Assessing the impact of team training on team performance requires precise and reliable measures for team processes (i.e., team interactions such as coordination and back-up behavior) and patient care (i.e., error reduction, evidence-based patient care, or adherence to standard treatment guidelines.)(19) Using appropriate measurements is critical to understanding not only what happened in terms of patient care outcomes, but also why and how in terms of teamwork process behaviors. However, accurately capturing both teamwork process and patient outcome data in a real-world environment can present significant challenges. Methodologically sound, high-fidelity human patient simulations provide an assessment platform that is both realistic and scientifically valid, i.e., systematic, reliable, and replicable.(20, 21) The

use of simulation offers the ability to diagnose performance and link ineffectual teamwork with specific team characteristics and skill deficiencies.(22, 23) Simulation-based assessment therefore provides a necessary first step to determining the impact of an intervention on overall patient outcomes.(24)

The goal of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of a computer-based teamwork process training (cTPT) intervention on medical emergency teamwork and patient care performance during simulated patient resuscitations. Computer-based training is frequently utilized in healthcare education due to its ease of distribution, ability to track learner activity, low cost, and standardized content.(25) Accordingly, it is a valuable solution when high-volume, easily disseminated training is required. We hypothesized that teams receiving cTPT would exhibit a greater number of appropriate teamwork and patient care behaviors as compared with teams receiving placebo training.

In a meta-analysis of teamwork training research across various industries, Salas and colleagues (2009) evidenced effect sizes of 12-19%.(26) These percentages represent the amount of team performance attributable to training. Teamwork training initiatives in simulation-based studies have demonstrated similar, and sometimes even larger, effect sizes. For example, Jankouskas and colleagues evaluated a 3-hour simulation-based crisis resource management training and found that training accounted for 13-35% of team performance outcomes.(27) The present research aims to determine if similar benefits for team performance can be achieved through a relatively low-resource training tool.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

This study used a randomized comparison design to evaluate the efficacy of cTPT on medical resuscitation teamwork and patient care during simulated patient resuscitations (Figure 1). Approval for this study was obtained from the Wayne State University (WSU) and Michigan State University institutional review boards.

Participants and Setting

Study participants (N=231) were enrolled from a class of fourth year medical students and first, second, and third year emergency medicine residents at WSU from August 2010-March 2011. Eligible

participants provided written informed consent and signed an agreement to maintain confidentiality of all study-related activities. Individuals unable to attend the entire simulation were excluded from the study. Both training and simulated cases were conducted in the WSU-Kado Clinical Skills Center simulation suite. Coding of teamwork behaviors was conducted at Michigan State University. Coding of patient care behaviors was done independently at WSU.

Study Protocol

Participants were scheduled to participate during their emergency medicine clerkship rotation (students) or during their weekly education conference (residents). Consenting participants that met inclusion criteria were assigned to teams of 4-6 members based on schedule availability ($N_{\text{teams}} = 45$). Assignments were reviewed to ensure that no more than 2 members of each team had worked together in prior simulations.(28) Participants completed a questionnaire that captured demographic information, clinical experience, and past simulation experience. Teams were randomized using computer software (www.randomizer.net) to receive either the cTPT intervention or placebo training. Both trainings were delivered via audio-narrated, automatic computer slide presentations lasting 25 minutes. Participants viewed the presentations individually at workstations equipped with headphones. Next, teams performed a single practice simulation that permitted exposure to the simulation environment. Each team then completed a second simulation that served as the training assessment. Instructor-led training and debriefing was withheld until the participants completed both scenarios. The content of the two simulation scenarios differed (cardiac arrest versus hemorrhagic shock); each one involved a patient with different symptoms and required different treatment protocols, but both necessitated resuscitation. Scenario order was counter-balanced across teams to ensure the effects of the cTPT would generalize across different clinical content.(29) Both simulations employed a confederate nurse actor to execute nursing tasks and deliver scripted prompts built into the scenarios. The confederate was blind to condition assignment. Participants were proctored at all times to prevent team member interaction outside of the simulations. Video recordings of the simulations were later coded for teamwork process and patient care behaviors as described below.

Teamwork Training

The objectives of the cTPT intervention were to improve (1) declarative knowledge of healthcare teams and critical teamwork behaviors, (2) understanding of why effective teamwork is important to patient safety, and (3) implementation of teamwork behaviors during code team events. A three-step instructional design process was employed to develop the training intervention.(30) This approach is grounded in team training theory and utilizes principles of social learning theory to provide both declarative knowledge and implementation examples and teach the knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary to support teamwork in medical resuscitation teams.(31)

In Step 1, we analyzed code team structure, teamwork behaviors, and tasks to inform training content. Prior work by team science and medical experts provided a model of team effectiveness and a taxonomy of teamwork process behaviors for use in code teams .(32, 33) From this taxonomy, teamwork domains critical to code team effectiveness were identified and incorporated into the team training session. Content and examples are outlined in Table 1.

In Step 2, we created content to deliver information about teamwork behaviors that could be delivered in a low-resource training platform. A PowerPoint[®]-based format was chosen as it could be easily disseminated, would not demand specialized equipment or personnel, and could be modified as needed. Training content included clinical examples specific to code teams that demonstrated effective implementation of teamwork behaviors. The examples did not provide participants with information regarding situations or tasks they would encounter during the simulation-based assessments.

In Step 3 we constructed the training intervention and piloted it within a group of expert healthcare providers to ensure usability, clinical relevance, and accuracy of information. Specifically, board certified emergency medicine and critical care physicians previewed the PowerPoint presentation. After viewing the presentation, experts were queried by the investigators (RF, KJ) with regard to the overall content, applicability of clinical examples, pace and clarity of presentation, and length of training. Minor adjustments were made based on responses prior to enrolling subjects.

Placebo Training

Placebo training provided general information about different types of teams in a healthcare context and the role of simulation in healthcare training. A clinical example illustrating the importance of teams to patient safety was incorporated to keep the design as similar as possible to the intervention training. Neither specific teamwork behaviors nor their implementation were discussed. The format and overall length of the placebo training was identical to the cTPT intervention.

Outcome Measures

Two resuscitation simulations [cardiac arrest and hemorrhagic shock] were constructed using eventbased scenario development techniques. (34-36) Final scenarios (clinical content, behavioral triggers, timing, expected responses) were content-validated by resuscitation experts (N=11) and piloted using code teams consisting of emergency medicine attending physicians, critical care fellows, residents, and students (N=10). Independent teamwork process and patient care behavioral checklist measures were developed for each scenario using evidence-based guidelines (Table 2).(24, 37) Time to completion, presence or absence of a behavior, and the number of behaviors occurring simultaneously are examples of outcome measure formats. These measures were content-validated by teamwork (N=17) and clinical (N=10) subject matter experts external to the research team and primary institution.(38) Because the coding format of some measures differed from others (e.g., whether or not a behavior occurred versus the time to completion of an activity), data for each item were first standardized (i.e., converted to z-scores) before combining across all items to create overall teamwork and patient care scores. A team's overall teamwork score was the average of all teamwork behavior items, each item equally weighted. A team's overall patient care score was the weighted average of all patient care behavior items. Weighting was recommended by subject matter experts during the content-validation process (Table 4). Weights reflect the relative importance of each patient care behavior and were formally solicited from subject matter experts outside the research team.

Data Coding

This study used a data coding strategy following evidence-based practices as previously described. (39, 40) Coders were blinded to condition assignments and study hypotheses. Two psychology research assistants coded videos for teamwork behaviors; two emergency medicine physicians coded videos for patient care behaviors. Inter-rater reliability for raters coding each class of behaviors met research standards. For teamwork behaviors, the average Cohen's kappa = .66 (SD = .09) for categorical items and the average correlation = .95 (SD = .12) for continuous items. For patient care behaviors, the average Cohen's kappa = .97 (SD = .04) for categorical items and the average correlation = .94 (SD = .09) for continuous items.

Data Analysis

An experience composite variable was created for each participant using five indicators of medical skills: level of education; age; and the number of resuscitations witnessed, participated in, or led. Since randomization occurred at the team, not the participant, level, it was necessary to control for member experience as it is an established predictor of effectiveness.(ref) We first standardized each variable and conducted a principal components analysis to determine whether the five indicators loaded on the same underlying factor (i.e., experience). A one-factor solution was found, accounting for 79.27% of the variance with all indicators loading above .81. These results support the use of an averaged composite variable composed of these five indicators to represent experience.

Analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) were used to (1) establish that the particular scenario used for assessment did not have an influence on training outcomes (i.e., a precondition for assessing training effects) and (2) assess the effect of the training intervention on teamwork behaviors and patient care performance (primary analyses). In the first analysis, the independent variable was the scenario used for assessment (cardiac arrest versus hemorrhagic shock). In the second analysis, the independent variable was intervention condition (cTPT versus placebo training). The experience composite was included as a covariate to control for the effects of experience on teamwork processes and training outcomes. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 19. Assumptions for ANCOVA analyses were met.

Results

Participant information is shown in Figure 1. Excluding individuals who did not consent (26) and those with scheduling conflicts (20), a total of 231 individuals were randomly assigned to either the team training (N_{team} = 23) or placebo training (N_{team} = 22) condition. As shown in Table 3, the cTPT and placebo groups were similar with respect to demographics, simulation exposure, and overall experience.

The first ANCOVA examined whether the scenario used during assessment affected teamwork behavior or patient care outcomes. Determining that there was no effect for the scenario used was a precondition for evaluating cTPT effects. The scenario used for assessment was treated as a grouping variable with the experience composite included as a covariate. As expected, after controlling for experience, there were no significant effects of scenario on teamwork behavior, F(1,40) = 0.06, p = ns, or patient care, F(1, 40) = 0.07, p = ns. Scenario also did not interact with cTPT to influence teamwork behavior, F(1,40) = 0.02, p = ns, or patient care, F(1,40) = 1.70, p = ns. These findings established that the scenario used during assessment did not affect the outcomes, providing support for the potential generalizability of our training across two contexts (both involving resuscitation scenarios).

Teamwork Behaviors

ANCOVA was used to evaluate the effects of cTPT on teamwork behaviors. The experience composite was treated as a covariate, training condition (cTPT versus placebo) was the independent variable, and teamwork was the dependent variable. The experience covariate was significantly related to teamwork, F(1,42) = 8.14, p < .01, indicating that those teams whose members had greater experience tended to engage in more teamwork behaviors, hence, the need to control for its effects. With experience controlled, the effect of training condition on teamwork behavior was significant, F(1,42) = 4.81, p < .05), indicating that teams receiving the cTPT intervention engaged in a greater number of appropriate teamwork behaviors during the simulation than teams receiving placebo training (Figure 2a). The strength of this relationship was moderate, as assessed by η^2_p , with training accounting for 10% of the variance in teamwork behaviors. These results indicate that cTPT evidenced a positive effect on appropriate teamwork behaviors beyond that of members' experience.

Patient Care Behaviors

ANCOVA was also used to examine the effects of cTPT on patient care behaviors. The experience composite was the covariate, training condition (cTPT versus placebo) was the independent variable, and patient care was the dependent variable. The experience covariate was significantly related to patient care performance, F(1,42) = 25.39, p < .001, indicating that those teams whose members had greater experience tended to execute more appropriate patient care behaviors. With experience controlled, the effect of training condition on patient care performance was significant and moderate, F(1,42) = 4.66, p < .05, $\eta^2_p = 10\%$, indicating that teams receiving the cTPT intervention performed better with regard to standards for patient care than teams in the placebo condition (Figure 2b). Similar to the findings for teamwork behaviors, cTPT positively influenced patient care behaviors beyond that of members' experience.

Discussion

In a randomized comparison study of cTPT versus placebo training, we found significantly higher levels of teamwork and patient care performance in teams receiving cTPT. The results of this study provide evidence supporting the relationship between team training and overall resuscitation performance, with implications for patient safety improvement during care of critically ill patients. While we did not evaluate clinical or systems-level outcomes, the outcome measures used did capture both teamwork and patient care independently using a rigorously-developed simulation platform.(24, 29) As such, these results contribute significantly to the growing body of literature supporting team training in medical resuscitation teams.

In this study, our low- intensity cTPT intervention accounted for 10% of the variance in teamwork, even after controlling for team member experience. This is similar to team training effect sizes demonstrated in healthcare and other industries. (26, 27) In a report by Risser et al, teamwork failures

were directly linked with 29 out of 68 total closed malpractice claims.(3) They also found that improved teamwork would translate into a savings of \$560,479 per closed case directly linked with ineffective teamwork. More importantly, Risser and colleagues noted that 75% patient deaths reviewed were deemed to be preventable with appropriate teamwork. Given these findings, a 10% teamwork improvement could translate into a significant impact on mortality and malpractice-associated costs.

Our ability to detect significant training effects despite a relatively small sample size and low intensity intervention was likely due to the rigor of the measurement and research design. As opposed to less objective self-report measures or retrospective perceptions about the effectiveness of training, the use of detailed checklists targeting observable teamwork and patient care behaviors permits a more rigorous examination of team functioning.(37) During measure development, behavioral checklist items were carefully crafted and reviewed by subject matter experts to ensure content validity; extensive pilot testing of the scenarios and assessment tools were undertaken to ensure standardization of training delivery; raters using the observational checklists to assess teams were thoroughly trained and monitored for accuracy and reliability; and, lastly, the final observational data were checked extensively for errors then aggregated into composite measures which uniquely captured teamwork and patient care behaviors. Compared with other teamwork assessment tools that have been used in the past, our approach is unique with regard to measurement precision as well as the breadth, validity, and accuracy of data captured.(24)

Despite widespread acknowledgement of the importance of teamwork in healthcare, there remain challenges in the implementation of successful team training programs.(41) A recent report on the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's TeamSTEPPS program cited sustainability and dissemination as key problem areas.(13) In acute critical care teams, the variability of day-to-day membership and of task requirements presents a challenge when implementing training.(17, 42) Additionally, cost estimates for a simulation-based team training exercise are significant (\$800-\$2000 per participant, per day, exclusive of specialized equipment costs, instructor training, and lost trainee job productivity).(16, 43) The critical care literature describes several promising team training programs;(44, 45) however, these programs require significant trainee time commitment (8 hours) as well as simulation

equipment and instructor time. Less costly, more easily disseminated team training options such as the one described in this manuscript offer attractive alternatives for smaller institutions and those with wide geographic distributions. It also has potential as a useful alternative for remediation training as well as refreshing / sustaining previously trained skills.

The cTPT presented in this study was created using widely available slide presentation software and materials relevant to the clinical scenario and teamwork behaviors. As a computer-based product it can be easily disseminated and accessed. Additionally, the content can be manipulated to address multiple different organizations, units, and team contexts. This is important, as extant team training program content may not readily transfer between different institutions, teams, and organizational cultures.(46) By employing cTPT, team members from different disciplines (e.g., hospitalist v. intensivist v. nurse manager) can easily receive targeted instruction that is more focused and relevant to their function during a patient resuscitation.

The teamwork literature emphasizes that both the technology employed and the mode of training delivery are less critical than the rigor of training design and assessment.(47, 48) This study utilized an evidence-based, theory-driven approach to both components.(49) The steps taken during our training development mirror recommendations in the team training literature and focus on social learning theory to provide (1) declarative information around relevant teamwork behaviors and (2) observational modeling, i.e., demonstration of teamwork behaviors during patient care events.(50) Using similar techniques, Ellis et al. demonstrated improved team function after a 25-minute team training intervention targeting undergraduates in low fidelity, computer-based laboratory team simulations.(30) The current study advances this work by evaluating healthcare learners on a high-fidelity simulation-based platform that mirrors the rich context of an acute care setting.

Our cTPT has several potential applications. First, system-specific training could be implemented across institutions as a primer for all acute care practitioners to provide awareness of teamwork principles and facilitate opportunities for on-the-job practice and feedback. Second, in institutions employing more active team training techniques, the described intervention could serve as a pre-training exercise to orient participants toward specific learning objectives that can increase overall training effectiveness.(51) This

would support a recommended integrated training approach where less-resource intensive training methods are used to deploy basic knowledge and skill implementation information prior to investing in more resource-intensive simulation-based training.(48, 52) Third, cTPT could be used as refresher training to help prevent skill degradation. Finally, the presented training intervention allows for customization based on the nature and taskwork of specific teams (e.g., rapid response teams versus intensive care teams.) This would allow cTPT to address competencies required for specific teams and enhance current generic teamwork training programs (e.g., TeamSTEPPS).(47, 53)

This study has several limitations. While the number of participating teams is relatively large when compared with those from the simulation and team training literature, it does not provide sufficient power to detect effects in more complex models (e.g., those with additional variables). Additionally, the participants for this study were limited to medical students and resident trainees. It is possible that more expert practitioners would not benefit as greatly from the low intensity team training intervention. However, it has been shown that medical experts are not necessarily teamwork experts.(14, 54) Further work examining team training in learners with differing levels of expertise would help to direct specific teamwork interventions appropriately within the continuum of healthcare education.

Another potential limitation concerns the team composition. This study evaluated teams of physician trainees working with a confederate nurse. Such designs allow considerable control over scenario events and help provide a standardized event-based assessment platform.(39, 55) However, caution must be exercised when generalizing findings to interdisciplinary teams. Future work extending this study should evaluate teams that include acute care practitioners from multiple disciplines, including physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, etc.

Finally, this study evaluated teamwork and patient care behaviors immediately following the intervention. While other studies have demonstrated retention of training effects over time, we did not evaluate decay of learning.(14, 39) Such information would be helpful when developing an execution plan for the training; however the fact that cTPT is so easily implemented makes frequent refresher training feasible.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates the positive effects of a computer-based team training intervention on teamwork and performance in code teams during simulated patient resuscitations. The low-resource and flexible aspects of its design and delivery suggest many potential uses in acute care education and healthcare education in general. We therefore present an option for improving teamwork within institutions unable to implement more resource-intensive training options, or in those wishing to refresh trainee's skills following those initiatives. Overall, our results uniquely complement the growing body of literature that links healthcare team training with improved teamwork and clinical care in acute care teams. Further study is needed to elucidate (1) what components of the training were most effective, (2) how training can impact different types of healthcare teams, (3) how cTPT compares with more resource-intensive training, and (4) how training impact extends to patient outcomes.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank Scott Compton, Ph.D., Duke - NUS Graduate Medical School and Robert Wears, MD, Ph.D, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Florida - Jacksonville, for their critical review and advice on manuscript content and statistical review. Additionally, we acknowledge Dr. Katherine Ohlendorf and Dr. Devon Moore for their assistance with data collection and video coding. We would also like to thank Paul Curran, Ph.D., Michigan State University and Jason Huang, Ph.D., Wayne State University, for their assistance in scenario and training development.

References

1. To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System. A report of the Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. Washington, D.C.: Institute of Medicine; 2000.

2. Keeping patients safe: Transforming the work environment of nurses. Washington, D.C.: Institute of Medicine; 2004.

3. Risser DT, Rice MM, Salisbury ML, et al. The potential for improved teamwork to reduce medical errors in the emergency department. Annals of Emergency Medicine 1999;34(3):373-383.

4. Leape LL, Berwick DM. Five years after to err is human - What have we learned? Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association 2005;293(19):2384-2390.

5. Leonard M, Graham S, Bonacum D. The human factor: the critical importance of effective teamwork and communication in providing safe care. Quality & Safety in Health Care 2004;13:I85-I90.

6. Croskerry P, Wears RL, Binder LS. Setting the educational agenda and curriculum for error prevention in emergency medicine. Academic Emergency Medicine 2000;7(11):1194-1200.

7. Sundstrom E, Demeuse KP, Futrell D. Work Teams: Applications and effectiveness. American Psychologist 1990;45(2):120-133.

8. Edmondson AC. Speaking up in the operating room: How team leaders promote learning in interdisciplinary action teams. Journal of Management Studies 2003;40(6):1419-1452.

9. Schull MJ, Ferris LE, Tu JV, et al. Problems for clinical judgement: 3. Thinking clearly in an emergency. Canadian Medical Association Journal 2001;164(8):1170-1175.

10. Campbell SG, Croskerry P, Bond WF. Profiles in patient safety: A "Perfect Storm" in the emergency department. Academic Emergency Medicine 2007;14(8):743-749.

11. Andreatta PB. A typology for health care teams. Health Care Management Review 2010;35(4):345-354.

12. DeVita MA, Schaefer J, Lutz J, et al. Improving medical emergency team (MET) performance using a novel curriculum and a computerized human patient simulator. Quality & Safety in Health Care 2005;14(5):326-331.

13. Alonso A, Baker DP, Holtzman AK, et al. Reducing medical error in the Military Health System: How can team training help? Human Resource Mangement Review 2006;16:396-415.

14. Neily J, Mills PD, Young-Xu YN, et al. Association Between Implementation of a Medical Team Training Program and Surgical Mortality. Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association 2010;304(15):1693-1700.

15. Small SD, Wuerz RC, Simon R, et al. Demonstration of high-fidelity simulation team training for emergency medicine. Academic Emergency Medicine 1999;6(4):312-323.

16. Pizzi L, Goldfarb NI, Nash DB. Chapter 44. Crew Resource Management and its Applications in Medicine. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2001.

17. Corriveau CO. Go team go: Recognizing the importance of team training in critical care. Critical Care Medicine 2011;39(12):2755-2756.

18. Salas E, Weaver SJ, DiazGranados D, et al. Sounding the Call for Team Training in Health Care: Some Insights and Warnings. Acad Med 2009;84:S128-S131.

19. Baker D, Gustafson S, Beaubien J. Medical teamwork and patient safety: The evidence-based relation. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007.

20. Gaba DM, Howard SK, Flanagan B, et al. Assessment of clinical performance during simulated crises using both technical and behavioral ratings [see comments]. Anesthesiology 1998;89(1):8-18.

21. Shapiro MJ, Gardner R, Godwin SA, et al. Defining Team Performance for Simulation-based Training: Methodology, Metrics, and Opportunities for Emergency Medicine. Academic Emergency Medicine 2008;15(11):1088-1097.

22. Cannon-Bowers JA, Salas E. A framework for developing team performance measures in training. In: Brannick MT, Salas E, Prince C, editors. Team Performance and Measurement: Theory, Methods, and Application. Mahwah, N.J.: Erlbaum; 1997. p. 45-62.

23. Baker DP, Salas E. Principles for measuring teamwork skills. Human Factors 1992;34(4):469-475.

24. Rosen MA, Salas E, Wilson KA, et al. Measuring Team Performance in Simulation-Based Training: Adopting Best Practices for Healthcare. Simulation in Healthcare 2008;3(1):33-41.

25. Ruiz JG, Mintzer MJ, Leipzig RM. The impact of e-learning in medical education. Acad Med 2006;81(3):207-212.

26. Salas E, DiazGranados D, Klein C, et al. Does Team Training Improve Team Performance? A Meta-Analysis. Human Factors 2008;50(6):903-933.

27. Jankouskas TS, Haidet KK, Hupcey JE, et al. Targeted Crisis Resource Management Training Improves Performance Among Randomized Nursing and Medical Students. Simulation in Healthcare 2011;6(6):316-326.

28. Hunziker S, Buhlmann C, Tschan F, et al. Brief leadership instructions improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a high-fidelity simulation: A randomized controlled trial. Critical Care Medicine 2010;38(4):1086-1091.

29. Kim J, Neilipovitz D, Cardinal P, et al. A pilot study using high-fidelity simulation to formally evaluate performance in the resuscitation of critically ill patients: The University of Ottawa Critical Care Medicine, High-Fidelity Simulation, and Crisis Resource Management I Study. Critical Care Medicine 2006;34(8):2167-2174.

30. Ellis APJ, Bell BS, Ployhart RE, et al. An evaluation of generic teamwork skills training with action teams: Effects on cognitive and skill-based outcomes. Personnel Psychology 2005;58(3):641-672.

31. Salas E, Burke CS, Cannon-Bowers JA. What we know about designing and delivering team training. In: Kraiger K, editor. Creating, implementing, and managing effective training and development: State-of-the-art lessons for practice. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2002. p. 234-259.

32. Fernandez R, Kozlowski SWJ, Shapiro MJ, et al. Toward a Definition of Teamwork in Emergency Medicine. Academic Emergency Medicine 2008;15(11):1104-1112.

33. Marks MA, Mathieu JE, Zaccaro SJ. A temporally based framework and taxonomy of team processes. Academy of Management Review 2001;26(3):356-376.

34. Fowlkes J, Dwyer DJ, Oser RL, et al. Event-based approach to training (EBAT). International Journal of Aviation Psychology 1998;8(3):209-221.

35. American College of Surgeons Trauma Committee. Advanced Trauma Life Support for Doctors. Chicago, IL; 2008.

36. Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support Provider Manual. Dallas, TX: American Heart Association; 2006.

37. Salas E, Rosen MA, Held JD, et al. Performance Measurement in Simulation-Based Training: A Review and Best Practices. Simulation & Gaming 2009;40(3):328-376.

38. Barrett RS. Content validation form. Public Personnel Management 1992;21:41-52.

39. Thomas EJ, Williams AL, Reichman EF, et al. Team Training in the Neonatal Resuscitation Program for Interns: Teamwork and Quality of Resuscitations. Pediatrics 2010;125(3):539-546.

40. Salas E, Burke CS, Bowers CA, et al. Team training in the skies: Does crew resource

management (CRM) training work? Human Factors 2001;43(4):641-674.

41. Grumbach K, Bodenheimer T. Can health care teams improve primary care practice? Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association 2004;291(10):1246-1251.

42. Reader TW, Flin R, Cuthbertson BH. Communication skills and error in the intensive care unit. Current Opinion in Critical Care 2007;13(6):732-736.

43. Bell BS, Kanar AM, Kozlowski SWJ. Current issues and future directions in simulation-based training in North America. International Journal of Human Resource Management 2008;19(8):1416-1434.
44. Nishisaki A, Hales R, Biagas K, et al. A multi-institutional high-fidelity simulation "boot camp" orientation and training program for first year pediatric critical care fellows. Pediatric Critical Care Medicine 2009;10(2):157-162.

45. Frengley RW, Weller JM, Torrie J, et al. The effect of a simulation-based training intervention on the performance of established critical care unit teams. Critical Care Medicine 2011;39(12):2605-2611.

46. Helmreich RL, Merritt AC, Wilhelm JA. The evolution of crew resource management training in commercial aviation. International Journal of Aviation Psychology 1999;9(1):19-32.

47. Weaver SJ, Rosen MA, Salas E, et al. Integrating the Science of Team Training: Guidelines for Continuing Education. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions 2010;30(4):208-220.
48. Beaubien JM, Baker DP. The use of simulation for training teamwork skills in health care: how

low can you go? Quality & Safety in Health Care 2004;13:I51-I56.

49. Fernandez R, Vozenilek JA, Hegarty CB, et al. Developing Expert Medical Teams: Toward an Evidence-based Approach. Academic Emergency Medicine 2008;15(11):1025-1036.

50. Salas E, Rhodenizer L, Bowers CA. The design and delivery of crew resource management training: Exploiting available resources. Human Factors 2000;42(3):490-511.

51. Kozlowski SWJ, Toney RJ, Mullins ME, et al. Developing adaptability: A theory for the design of integrated-embedded training systems. In: Salas E, editor. Advances in human performance and cognitive engineering research. Amsterdam: JAI/Elsevier Science; 2001. p. 59-123.

52. Fox-Robichaud AE, Nimmo GR. Education and simulation techniques for improving reliability of care. Current Opinion in Critical Care 2007;13(6):737-741.

53. Cannon-Bowers JA, Tannenbaum SI, Salas E, et al. Defining team competencies and establishing team training requirements. In: Guzzo R, Salas E, editors. Team effectiveness and decision making in organizations. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1995. p. 333-380.

54. Burke CS, Salas E, Wilson-Donnelly K, et al. How to turn a team of experts into an expert medical team: guidance from the aviation and military communities. Quality & Safety in Health Care 2004;13:I96-I104.

55. Fowlkes J, Lane NE, Salas E, et al. Improving the measurement of team performance: The TARGETS methodology. Military Psychology 1994;6:47-61.

Figure 1. Participant and Team Flow



Arrest/Hemorrhagic Shock = Cardiac arrest scenario performed first, then hemorrhagic shock scenario Hemorrhagic Shock/Arrest = Hemorrhagic shock scenario performed first, then cardiac arrest scenario



<u>Figure 2.</u> Teamwork (A) and Patient Care Performance (B) During Simulation-based Assessment in Teams Receiving Computer Team Process Training or Placebo Training.

Blue columns represent average scores for teams in the placebo condition; red columns represent average scores for teams in the cTPT condition. The green horizontal bars represent the sample means for teamwork (M = .01) and patient care performance (M = .11). Teamwork and patient care performance are composite scores formed from checklist items as described in the *Methods* section. Higher scores represent better teamwork and patient care performance relative to the sample means.

Table 1.	Computer-l	based Team	Process	Training	Content	Outline
Table 1.	Computer-	based ream	1100055	Training	Content	Outime

Planning or Preparation A single critical incident (setund event) was used to demonstrate more and their impact on patient care. Mission analysis Identification and interpretation of the tran's tasks as well as environmental conditions, available resources, and potential challenges - Team members should dopt to changing circumstances. Goal specification Adout the task of task of task of task of the task of task	Team Processes Description		Exemplars Provided in Training			
appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Mission analysis Identification and interpretation of the team's tasks as well as euvironmental optications, available resources, and potential challenges I. Team members should duality to changing circumstances. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals I. Team members should duality that all necessary roles and tasks are filled by team members in a timely mannee. Strategy Identification and prioritization of team goals I. Team members should changing circumstances. Strategy Developing a course of action as well as exercise team members in a timely mannee. I. Team members should changing circumstances with specific skills to carry out tasks that are aligned with those skills. Strategy Developing a course of action as well as exercise to thonging domands associated with current roles, as well as new roles that arise carries should compares in both deliberate planning and reactive strategy adjustment. Strategy Tracking team resources and environmental and task changes A single critical incident (seminel avent) was used to demonstrate appropriate team provess behaviors and their impact on patient care. Systems Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure that associated with current roles, as well as never should compares in the environmental adaptation Team members of deficiencies. Also calling, adaptation Team members should dilignenty monitor and ask whether other members asolud	Planning or Preparat	ion	A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate			
Mission analysis Identification and interpretation of the tarm's tasks as well as environmental conditions, valiable resources, and potential challenges I. Team members should dapt to changing circumstances. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals Team members should dapt to changing circumstances. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals Team members should adapt to changing circumstances. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals Team members should adapt to changing circumstances. Strategy Developing a course of action as well as new roles that arise. Team members should continuously gather relevant information that may enables the team to revise or reformulate the plans of action. Strategy Tracking team resources and environmental and task changes Team members should engage in both deliberate planning and reactive strategy adjustment. Organizing team resources and environmental changes and adaption Team members should engage in both deliberate planning and reactive strategy adjustment. Systems Tracking team resources and environmental changes and adaption on accountilis to teams Team members assist on the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions. Team members' assist other team, strategies as necessary Team members should diligently monitor and ass. Systems Team members shou			appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care.			
Mission analysis team's tasks as well as environmental conditions, available resources, and potential challenges - Team members should dapted to changing circumstances. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals - Team members should and prioritization of team goals - Team members should analysis. Beering a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves a contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves and using strategies in response to environmental conditions to ensure the team can excomplish is goals; and adaptation - Team members should contages in the environmental communication of a plan for mission accomplishment. - Peliberate planning requires the status of key cenvironmental daptation Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compasta factors that influence team goals and actions. - You should requere train about the appropriateness of something they 're about to do, they should make other team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they 're about to do, they should make other team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they 're about to do, they should make other team members about do do, they should make other team members about do do, they should make other team members about do do, they should make otheret etam members shoul		Identification and interpretation of the	1. Team members should not only interpret the team's mission, but			
Mission analysis conditions, available resources, and potential challenges 2. Team members should data to changing circumstances. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals 3. Team members should achart to changing circumstances. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals 1. Team members should chark about what information is needed to perform a thorough mission analysis. Strategy Identification and prioritization of team goals 2. Team members should chark about what information is needed to perform a thorough mission analysis. Strategy Developing a course of action as well as new roles that arise. 3. Team members should continuously goalber relevant information that may canable the team to revise or reformulate the plans of active strategy adjustment. Strategy Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals and actions. Systems monitoring / Tracking team resources and environmental changes and adapting environmental change and adapting strategies as necessary Rearm members' assist other team members' assist other team members should dispently monitor and ask whether other members should coviding and actions to team activities or deficiencies. Also calle cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of cicam activities or coll activities or tasks that are interdependend or requirem schould aloxys provide verbal feeduback or behaviora and adviting and argoin		team's tasks as well as environmental	also discuss it with team members.			
potential challenges 3. Team members should think about what information is needed to perform a thorough mission analysis. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of earnorma information is needed. 1. Team members should ensure that ill necessary roles and tacks are filled by team members in a timely manner. Strategy Iomulation Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes 1. Team members should encages in both deliberate planning and reactive strategy adjustment. Strategy Iomulation Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves adjusting strategies in response to environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; and adapting environmental conditions of earnor mission accomplishment. Action A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate uppropriate learning requires the status of key environment. Action 1. Team members' assist other team monitoring and adaptation 1. Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workhoads, and componate factors that influence team goals and actions. Team members' assist other team members' assist other team members are deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members are uncertain all conduct or quire member makes assigns roles, monitors and develops farearast of deficincics. Also calle the analtivities or tasks and tr	Mission analysis	conditions, available resources, and	2. Team members should adapt to changing circumstances.			
Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals Iteam members should ensure that all necessary roles and tasks are illed by team members is a timely maner. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals Iteam members should ensure that all necessary roles and tasks are illed by team members with specific skills to carry out tasks that are aligned with those skills. Strategy Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes Team members should enoungage tam members with accountingency plans. Involves adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes Team members should enoungage tam process or reformalate the plans of action. Action A single critical incident (semithel event) was used to demonstrate adoptation A single critical incident (semithel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process hehaviors and there. Systems monitoring and adaptation Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; monitoring environmental changes and adapting strategies an necessary I. Team members should duays provide was used to demonstrate appropriate team process hehaviors and fuely. Team members' assist other taam members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and eractivities of tasks that area interdepender by readition they do. I. Team members should duays provide webal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member me		potential challenges	3. Team members should think about what information is needed			
Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals 1. Team members should ensure that all necessary roles and tasks are filled by team members in a timely manner. Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals 2. Team members should encourage team members with specific skills to carry out tasks that are aligned with those skills. Strategy Developing a course of action as well as a contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves as actuality adjustment. 1. Team members should continuously gather relevant information that may enable the team to revise or reformulate the plans of action. Action 2. Team members should continuously gather relevant information that may enable the team to revise a controling team resource so environmental and task changes 1. Team members should continuously gather relevant information that may enable the team to revise on reformulate the plans of action. Action 2. Team members is a time the response to environmental changes and adapting strategis in response to unaticipated changes in the environmental changes and adapting strategis on the response to the team propriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Back-up behavior Tracking team resources and environmental changes and adapting strategis on team environmental changes and adapting strategis on team soluted the propriate team member should diligent monitor as ak whether other members whuld frequently monitor that status of key environmental for areas of deficiencies. Also callub cooperation, workload sharing, and adapting strategis on the propriate team membe			to perform a thorough mission analysis.			
Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals Team members with specific skills to carry out tasks that are aligned with those skills. Strategy formulation Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans, Involves adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes I. Team members should engage in both deliberate planning and reactive strategy adjustment. Action Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; and adaptation I. Team members should engage in both deliberate planning and reactive strategy adjustment. Systems monitoring and adaptation Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; and adapting strategies as necessary I. You should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and atapting strategies as necessary Team members' with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate or areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior of team activities I. Team members should diavay provide trade to dualy approvide the police that activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing, of team activities I. Team members should diavay provide trade they notice that actain member wakes an error. Leadership Directs and coordinate activities, sassesse overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops; feelititates problem solving and error recognintion, facilitate			1. Team members should ensure that all necessary roles and tasks			
Goal specification Identification and prioritization of team goals 2. Feam members should encourse to changing demands associated with current toles, as well as new roles that arise. Strategy formulation Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves augusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes 1. Team members should encage in both deliberate planning and reactive strategy adjustment. Action - Debibration of the team to revise or reformulate the plans of response to unanticipated changes in the orivormant. Action - Tacking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; and adapting strategies in as necessary monitoring environmental changes and adapting strategies in as necessary for areas of deficiencies. Also called coorparition, workload sharing, and group level citrzenship behavior 1. You should frequently monitor the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and of team activities 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members need help and provide it when they do. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and difficuncies. Also called coorparition, workload sharing, and group level citrzenship behavior 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members hould and the provide it when they do. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members bould diligently monitor tha stask and try to reduce the amount of time they provide		Identification and mightization of	are filled by team members in a timely manner.			
Strategy Strategy Developing a course of action as well as new roles that arise. Strategy Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves adjusting strategics in response to environmental and task changes 1. Team members should continuously gather relevant information that may chable the team to revise or reformulate the plans of action. Action	Goal specification	team goals	2. Team members should encourage team members with specific skills to carry out tasks that are aligned with those skills			
Strategy formulation Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves as contingency plans. Involves action. 1. Team members should continuously gather relevant information that may enable the team to revise or reformulate the plans of action. Action 2. Team members should engage in both <i>deliberate planning</i> and reactive strategy adjustment involves altering initial plans in response to unanticipated changes in the environment. Action 3. Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals and adapting strategies is necessary and adapting strategies as necessary for ameembers with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assign roles, monitors and develops, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experincene pt you in a		team goars	3 Team members should be responsive to changing demands			
Strategy formulation Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes 1. Team members should engage in both <i>deliberate planning</i> and <i>resecutive strategy adjustment</i> . Action Team members should engage in both <i>deliberate planning</i> and <i>response to unanticipated changes</i> in the environment. Action Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; monitoring environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; monitoring environmental changes 1. You should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and its current situation <i>in real time</i> . Team members' assist other team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also cald cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about do do, they should make other team members should always they require. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should coordinate event was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Leadership Directs and			associated with current roles, as well as new roles that arise.			
Strategy Developing a course of action as well as formulation that may enable the team to revise or reformulate the plans of action. Strategy adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes communication of a plan formission accomplishment. Action A single critical incident (senting requires the establishment and communication of a plan formission accomplishment. Action A single critical incident (senting requires the establishment and communication of a plan formission accomplishment. Systems Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals: and tis current situation in real time. monitoring and adapting strategies as necessary and the team concess should dasess the discrepancies between your team's goals and actions. Team members' assist other team members assist other team members should assistance if they notice that a team member makes an group level citizenship behavior Team members' assist other team members aware immediately. 1. Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes and for incines. Back-up behavior Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities or tasks and try to reduce the anount of time they equire. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities and coordinates activities, assesse overall team performance, asses overall tea			1. Team members should continuously gather relevant information			
Strategy formulation Developing a course of action as well as contingency plans. Involves adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes action. Team members should engage in both deliberate planning and accine strategy adjustment. Action - Deliberate planning requires the establishment and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; monitoring environmental changes and adapting strategies as necessary A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Team members' assist other team members' assist other team members and deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members should condinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members should condinate activities of tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should bashare the rationale for important team decisions. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, facilita			that may enable the team to revise or reformulate the plans of			
Strategy formulation Developing a course of action as well adjusting strategies in response to environmental and task changes 2. Team members should engage in both <i>deliberate planning</i> and <i>reactive strategy adjustment</i> . Action - Deliberate planning requires the establishment and communication of a plan for mission accomplishment. - Reactive strategy adjustment involves altering initial plans in response to unanticipated changes in the environment. Action - No should assess the discremation of a plan for mission accomplishment. - Reactive strategy adjustment involves altering initial plans in response to unanticipated changes in the environmental. Action - Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; monitoring environmental changes and adapting strategies as necessary - You should frequently monitor the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions. Team members' assist other team members and fiele pland provide it when they do. - Team members should alignetly monitor and ask whether other members need help and provide it when they do. Back-up behavior - Team members should always provide verbal feedback or balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior - Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities - Team members should anictivities for t		De la instance de ciana all	action.			
Strategy formulation as contingency pairs. involves environmental and task changes reactive strategy adjustment. Action -Deliberate planning requires the establishment and communication of a plan for mission accomplishment. Action A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their monitoring environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; and adaptation A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their monitoring environmental changes and adaptation Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called coorgenation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior . Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members should any sprovide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities . Team members avare immediately. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, sagings roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, sagings roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback . Team members should mainta	Ctruste and	Developing a course of action as well	2. Team members should engage in both <i>deliberate planning</i> and			
101111111001 adjusting stategies in response to environmental and task changes -Deliberate planning requires the establishment and communication of a plan for mission accomplishiment. -Reactive strategy adjustment involves altering initial plans in response to unanticipated changes in the environment. Action	Strategy	as contingency plans. Involves	reactive strategy adjustment.			
Action Action A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Systems Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; and adapting strategies as necessary I. You should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and its current situation in real time. Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to and accomplish its goals; and acquires, such assistance if they notice that a team members for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior I. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members are immediately. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and time of team activities I. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they re about to do, they should make other team members should acticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require. Coordination Directs and coordinate activities, facilitates problem solving and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback I. Team members should be betwords and their impact on patient care. Mechanisms Directs and coordinate activities, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback I. Team members should be be to change the sequencing, pace, or number should be mindful of situations where your express should be mindful of situations the team. Mechanisms Directs and coordinate activities, fac	Tormulation	aujusting strategies in response to	•Deliberate planning requires the establishment and			
Action -Reactive strategy adjustment involves altering initial plans in response to unanticipated changes in the environment. Action A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Systems Tracking team resources and adapting strategies as necessary I vou should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and actions. Mathematical adaptation Team members' assist other team members should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and actions. Team monitoring / Back-up behavior Team members' assist other team members should frequently monitor the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions. Back-up behavior Team members' assist other team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Back-up behavior Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities I. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require. Coordination Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback I. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Leader		environmental and task enanges	communication of a plan for mission accomplishment.			
Action A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Systems Tracking team resources and environmental changes and adapting environmental changes and adapting environmental changes and adapting strategies as necessary 1. You should assess the deiscrepancies between your team's goals and actions. Team members' assist other team members which dads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workloads haring, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of itue the areativities or recognition, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require. Coordination Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Leadership Directs and behaviors and develops team antitudes and behaviors and develops team antitudes and behaviors and develops team environment. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a mesage was correctly received and clarifying with the wroreceived and clarifying with the wrore than one individ			•Reactive strategy adjustment involves altering initial plans in			
Action A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Systems monitoring and adaptation Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure monitoring environmental changes and adapting strategies as necessary 1. You should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and its current situation in real time. Team monitoring / Back-up behavior Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members should cordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members should cordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, assigns roles, monitors and develops facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their interdependent or require patient care. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, assigns roles, monitors and develors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be able to change th			response to unanticipated changes in the environment.			
Systems Tracking team resources and environmental conditions to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; and adaptation In You should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and its current situation <i>in real time</i> . Team monitoring and adaptation Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior I. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities I. Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities I. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, assigns roles, monitors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Leadership Following-up with a team member to view of norwing was correctly received and carifying with the core was due and infurence was sould share they information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication. You should bane teay information with the whole team.	Action		A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate			
Systems Tracking team resources and evolution to ensure the team can accomplish its goals; monitoring and adapting strategies as necessary I. You should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals and its current situation in real time. Team monitoring and adapting strategies as necessary and adapting strategies as necessary I. Team members should diligently monitor the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions. Team monitoring / Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior I. Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities I. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback I. Team members should anticipate transitions between team. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly recognition, facilitates feedback I. Team members should anticipate transitions between team. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback			appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care.			
Systems environmental condutors to ensure monitoring and adaptation environmental condutors to ensure monitoring and adapting strategies as necessary 2. You should frequently monitor the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions. Team monitoring / Back-up behavior Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities Team members should accordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly recognition, facilitates feedback Team members should amintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication. Mechanisms Following-up with a team member to verify tha	Systems	I racking team resources and	1. You should assess the discrepancies between your team's goals			
Information Indecembers and accompassine its goals; adaptation 2. For should vectors that influence team goals and actions. adaptation Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members should at easy provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Leadership Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the werify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the 1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication. Communication. <	Systems	the team concern lish its goals:	2 You should frequently monitor the status of low environmental			
adaptation Informoring environmental charges and adapting strategies as necessary nactors that influence team goals and actions. Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. 2. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members aware immediately. 3. If team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Leadership Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly recover and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly 1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly recovend for unsure of the rearem. <td< td=""><td>adaptation</td><td>monitoring environmental changes</td><td>2. You should frequently monitor the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions</td></td<>	adaptation	monitoring environmental changes	2. You should frequently monitor the status of key environmental factors that influence team goals and actions			
Init dupping windigies is necessary 1. Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior 1. Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities 1. Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be minful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a mes	adaptation	and adapting strategies as necessary	factors that influence team goals and actions.			
Team members' assist other team members with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called for areas of deficiencies. Also called agroup level citizenship behaviormembers need help and provide it when they do.2. Team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members aware immediately.3. Team members aware immediately.3. Team members aware immediately.4. Team activities4. Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities5. Team members aware immediately.5. Team members aware immediately.6. Team activities6. Team activities6. Team activities6. Team activities7. Team members aware immediately.7. Team members aware immediately.8. Team members aware immediately.8. Team members aware immediately.9. Team activities9. Team acti		and adapting strategies as necessary	1 Team members should diligently monitor and ask whether other			
Team monitoring / Back-up behaviormembers with their tasks, help to balance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior2. Team members should always provide verbal feedback or behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities1. Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedbackA single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the work bet the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the work bet the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the work bet the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the work bet the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the work bet the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the work bet the member to the the member very should share key information with the whole team. </td <td></td> <td>Team members' assist other team</td> <td>members need help and provide it when they do.</td>		Team members' assist other team	members need help and provide it when they do.			
Team monitoring / Back-up behaviorbalance workloads, and compensate for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behaviorbehavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes an error.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activitiesIf team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members aware immediately.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activitiesI. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete.MechanismsI. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedbackI. Team members should be iminfful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend be arrored with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend we for memory to the the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend we for memory to the the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend we for memory to the the the team.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was corr		members with their tasks, help to	2. Team members should always provide verbal feedback or			
Back-up behavior for areas of deficiencies. Also called cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior an error. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities If team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members aware immediately. Coordination Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities If team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. Mechanisms Image: Coordinate activities, assigns roles, monitors and devolops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback Image: Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the more derivation and examples communication Image: Communication Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the more derivation and examples communication. Image: Communication with the whole team. You should share key information with the whole team. You should share key information with the whole team.	Team monitoring /	balance workloads, and compensate	behavioral assistance if they notice that a team member makes			
cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior3. If team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of something they're about to do, they should make other team members aware immediately.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities1. Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require. 3. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. 2. Team leaders should share the rationale for important team decisions.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the mered between the them verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the mered between the them verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the mered between the them verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the mered between the them verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the mered between the them verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the mered the them received	Back-up behavior	for areas of deficiencies. Also called	an error.			
group level citizenship behaviorsomething they're about to do, they should make other team members aware immediately.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete.Mechanisms2. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend for merceived duct the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend for merceived the the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend for merceived the team.1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.CommunicationPollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend for mercei the the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend for mercei the the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the wend for mercei the the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying wi		cooperation, workload sharing, and group level citizenship behavior	3. If team members are uncertain about the appropriateness of			
Coordinationmembers aware immediately.CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. 2. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedbackA single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. 2. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. 2. Team members should behavior to receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the erceived and clarifying with the received and cla			something they're about to do, they should make other team			
CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are interdependent or require more than one individual to complete. 2. Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require. 3. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. 2. Team leaders should share the rationale for important team decisions.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the weing the are member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the communication.1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.Communication2. You should share key information with the whole team.			members aware immediately.			
CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activitiesinterdependent or require more than one individual to complete.2.Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require.3.Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedbackA single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.1.CommunicationYou should share key information with the whole team.		Organizing the sequencing and timing of team activities	1. Team members should coordinate activities for tasks that are			
CoordinationOrganizing the sequencing and timing of team activities2. Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require.2. Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and try to reduce the amount of time they require.3. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. decisions.2. Team leaders should share the rationale for important team decisions.1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.2. You should share key information with the <i>whole</i> team.			interdependent or require more than one individual to complete.			
Coordinationof team activitiesThe sector of the amount of time they require.of team activities3. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if unexpected circumstances arise.MechanismsA single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care.LeadershipDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the during with the members whould share key information with the whole team.2. You should share key information with the whole team.	C. I'm the		2. Team members should anticipate transitions between tasks and			
Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the embers of the the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the embers the the members the the the members the the members the the the membe	Coordination		try to reduce the amount of time they require.			
Mechanisms A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Provide the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the use of the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the member to verify the member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the m			5. Team members should be able to change the sequencing, pace, or number of people involved in activities if upeypected			
Mechanisms A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Mechanisms Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Provide the experiment of the experiment			circumstances arise			
Mechanisms In ongre entretain increases (container events) was used to entrolocate appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care. Leadership Directs and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the overige that the message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the end to verify the team. 1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication. 2. You should share key information with the whole team. 2. You should share key information with the whole team.			A single critical incident (sentinel event) was used to demonstrate			
LeadershipDirects and coordinates activities, assesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. 2. Team leaders should share the rationale for important team decisions.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the ereceived and clarifying with the received and clarifying with the re	Mechanisms		appropriate team process behaviors and their impact on patient care.			
Leadershipassesses overall team performance, assigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedbackexpertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team. Team leaders should share the rationale for important team decisions.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the enders for measure the the the team.1.Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.2.You should share key information with the whole team.		Directs and coordinates activities,	1. Team members should be mindful of situations where your			
Leadershipassigns roles, monitors and develops team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback2. Team leaders should share the rationale for important team decisions.CommunicationFollowing-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the ereceived and clarifying with the received and clarifying with	Leadership	assesses overall team performance,	expertise and experience put you in a position to lead the team.			
Leadership team attitudes and behaviors, facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback decisions. Communication Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the ereceived and clarifying with the received		assigns roles, monitors and develops	2. Team leaders should share the rationale for important team			
facilitates problem solving and error recognition, facilitates feedback 1. Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the service of a message that the message that the message 1. Communication received and clarifying with the service of a message that the message		team attitudes and behaviors,	decisions.			
recognition, facilitates feedback Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the ender of a message that the message Communication 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		facilitates problem solving and error				
Following-up with a team member to verify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the ender of a message that the message1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.2. You should share key information with the whole team.		recognition, facilitates feedback				
Communicationverify that a message was correctly received and clarifying with the communication.when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop communication.2.You should share key information with the <i>whole</i> team.		Following-up with a team member to	1. Team members should maintain the integrity of information			
Communication received and clarifying with the 2. You should share key information with the <i>whole</i> team.		verify that a message was correctly	when providing or receiving requests by using closed-loop			
2. I ou should share key information with the <i>whole</i> team.	Communication	received and clarifying with the	2 You should share how information with the whole team			
sender of a message that the message 3. You should communicate openly and supportively with your		sender of a message that the message	2. You should communicate openly and supportively with your			
was received as intended 5. Tou should communicate openity and supportively with your team members		was received as intended	team members.			

	Total	Type of measurement	Sample Teamwork	Total	Type of measurement	Sample Patient Care
	Teamwork	item	Behaviors	Patient	item	Measures
	Items			Care		
				Items		
Hemorrhagic		Quality of teamwork action- multitasking (BARS)	When possible, team members worked on multiple tasks, rather than having everyone focus on one task.		Nature of patient care behavior (Numerical value)	Rate of ventilations post- intubation
Shock	81	Presence of teamwork	Chest X-ray findings	63	Presence of patient care	Chest X-ray correctly
Resuscitation		behavior	communicated to team		behavior	interpreted
		(Binary item)			(Binary item)	
		Proper sequencing /	Sequencing of actions		Time to critical patient care	Cause of patient's shock
		coordination of events	during intubation		action	correctly identified
		(Binary item)	appropriate		(Time)	
		Quality of teamwork action (BARS)	Patient allergies communicated to all / few/ no team members		Quality of patient care action (BARS)	Compressions during CPR done at appropriate depth
Cardiac		Presence of teamwork	Change in cardiac rhythm		Presence of patient care	Cardiac rhythm interpretation
Arrest	96	behavior	communicated to team	91	behavior	correct
Resuscitation		(Binary item)			(Binary item)	
		Proper sequencing /	Percentage of times rhythm		Time to critical patient care	Time to successful intubation
		coordination of events	verbally communicated		action	
		(Numerical value)	after defibrillation		(Time)	

Table 2: Characteristics and Examples of Teamwork and Patient Care Measures

Please note: Full copies of both teamwork and patient care measures are available upon request from the Corresponding Author (RF)

Table 3: Participant Characteristics

	cTPT Intervention	Placebo	<i>p</i> value
Male, n (%)	74 (62.71%)	67 (59.82%)	.66
Age, mean (SD)	27.71 (3.16)	27.32 (2.94)	.33
Level of Training, n (%)			
Medical student	83 (70.94%)	82 (73.22%)	
PGY 1	12 (10.26%)	11 (9.82%)	50
PGY 2	10 (8.55%)	12 (10.71%)	.38
PGY 3	12 (10.26%)	6 (5.36%)	
PGY 4	0 (0%)	1 (0.89%)	
How many resuscitations have you witnessed?			
0	1 (0.84%)	0 (0.00%)	20
1-5	37 (31.09%)	43 (38.39%)	.30
>5	81 (68.07%)	69 (61.61%)	
How many resuscitations have you			
participated in?	11 (9.24%)	12 (10.81%)	
0	65 (54.62%)	52 (46.85%)	.58
1-5	43 (36.13%)	47 (42.34%)	
>5			
How many resuscitations have you led?			
0	90 (75.63%)	87 (77.68%)	74
1-5	6 (5.04%)	5 (4.46%)	./4
>5	23 (19.33%)	20 (17.86%)	
Experience composite*, mean (SD)	.03 (0.79)	03 (.77)	.56
How many simulations have you been in?			
2-5	74 (62.18%)	64 (56.3%)	.33
>5	45 (37.82%)	48 (42.9%)	

PGY= Postgraduate year

*Five experience items (age, level of training, and number of resuscitations witnessed, participated in, or

led) were standardized then averaged to create an experience composite. This is described further in the

Materials and Methods section.

Table 4: S	Sources of '	Validation of	of Measurement	Platform
------------	--------------	---------------	----------------	----------

Sources of Evidence of Validity and Definitions ^a	Scenario	Teamwork Process measures	Patient care measures
Content The degree to which the components and entirety of the measurement tool represents the intended construct	 Clinical content experts provided rating of the appropriateness of:^b Patient presentation Clinical triggers Clinical data (ECG, lab results, etc) 	Teamwork subject matter experts (n=17) reviewed the teamwork measures and quantified the extent to which they represent dimensions of team processes critical for performance in medical action teams.	 Clinical subject matter experts (n=10) reviewed the patient care measures and quantified the extent to which they 1. accurately captured appropriate team performance 2. were critical to successful patient management^c
Response Process Relationship of the thought processes and actions of the subjects and the intended construct	 Scenarios were pilot tested amongst 5 teams. Post-scenario interviews with participants elicited: 1. Representativeness of scenario 2. Diagnostic reasoning used during scenario 3. Degree of psychological fidelity 4. Team interactions provoked by scenario 5. Optimal team number required for scenario 	Pilot teams were shown teamwork process measures after completing the scenarios.Subjects provided feedback with regard to:1. Representativeness of measure2. Ability of scenario to evoke the behavior being assessed	 Pilot teams were shown patient care measures after completing the scenarios. Subjects provided feedback with regard to: Representativeness of measures Level of criticality of measured behavior to patient outcome Ability of scenario to evoke the behavior being assessed
Internal Structure Acceptable reliability and factor structure	Reliability of scenario was assessed throughout the study to ensure that all clinical cues and triggers occurred in a standard fashion for all teams.	Raters were trained and assessed prior to initiating data coding. Inter-rater reliability was calculated (see <i>Data Coding</i>). Teamwork process and patient care measures were coded independently as recommended in the literature. (*)	Raters were trained and assessed prior to initiating data coding. Inter-rater reliability was calculated (see <i>Data Coding</i>). Teamwork process and patient care measures were coded independently to avoid bias during rating.
Relations to Other Variables Correlation with another outcome or variable for which correlation would be expected	N/A	Experience correlated with teamwork process measures.	Experience correlated with patient care measures.

^aDefined by Cook DA, Beckman TJ. Current concepts in validity and reliability for psychometric instruments: theory and application. Am J Med 2006;119(2):166 e167-116

^bFollowed recommended practice of establishing evidence of content validity (Haynes SN, Richard DCS, Kubany ES. Content validity in psychological assessment: A functional approach to concepts and methods. Psychological Assessment 1995;7(3):238-247.) ^cused to determine weighting of items